

United States Patent and Trademark Office

- |

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/806,063	03/22/2004	Pashupati Dutta	U 015096-0 1544		
75	90 09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023			SEAMAN, D MARGARET M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		1625		
•		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · ·		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			3,063	DUTTA ET AL.				
			ner	Art Unit				
		D. Mar	garet Seaman	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nasions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm operator for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. tutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be tire and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
· —		2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final					
3)		<i>'</i> —		osecution as to the	e merits is			
٠,٣	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		•		٠			
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)								
•=	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	,						
	-	. Evaminer						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to			=				
.,—					. • . • . •			
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•	•	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	, ,,	_				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the c	ertified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a silicotungstic acid catalyst with a support of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, clays and montmorillonite, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon an election of a single disclosed species.
 - II. Claims 1-8, drawn to phosphotungstic acid catalyst with a support of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, clays and montmorillonite, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon an election of a single disclosed species.
 - III. Claims 1-8, drawn to phosphomolybdic acid catalyst with a support of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, clays and montmorillonite, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon an election of a single disclosed species.
 - IV. Claims 1-8, drawn to vanadotungstic acid catalyst with a support of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, clays and montmorillonite, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon an election of a single disclosed species.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

V. Claims 1-8, drawn to other heteropoly acid catalyst with a support of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, clays and montmorillonite, classified in various classes and subclasses, depending upon an election of a single disclosed species.

VI. Claims 9-17, drawn to a method of preparation of 2- and 4-picolines using a heteropoly acid catalyst with a support of silica, alumina, silica-alumina, clays and montmorillonite, classified in class 546, subclass 283+, with an election of a single disclosed species.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II-V are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different design. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,063

Art Unit: 1625

3. Inventions I-V and VI are related as product and process of use.

Page 4

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process of using can be used with a materially different catalyst.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1625

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 730am-4pm, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on 571-272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,063

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. Margaret Seaman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms